

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A38 Derby Junctions](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: [External] A38 Derby Junctions Project - My Reference number: 20022799
Date: 27 October 2019 21:18:17

Please find my **representation** in response to the information provided for Little Eaton junction :

1) 5.4.7 In response to the consultation, a single issue petition was also received from Breadsall Parish Council and the Local MP for Amber Valley/Mid Derbyshire wrote on behalf of 30 residents of Breadsall. The petition was signed by 343 people, of which 283 were identified as residing in Breadsall. This petition objected to Option 3, the closest to Breadsall, without stating any preference for any of the other options.

5.4.8 Excluding the petition, 66% of the respondents were in favour of Option 8a (identified in the consultation materials as Option 3), 17% in favour of Option 7 (identified in the consultation materials as Option 2) and 2% in favour of Option 9 (identified in the consultation materials as Option 1). 84% of the respondents resided in Allestree, Breadsall or Little Eaton, which were the residential areas closest to the proposed junction improvement.

The above extracts from page 39 of the scheme assessment report form a fundamental basis of why I believe that the due process has not been followed by those responsible for choosing the "preferred option" for the Little Eaton Junction. As you can see if the results of the petition had been taken into account there was a clear overwhelming opposition to the Option 8a/3 version. However as the scheme was delayed no announcement was made even though this had been decided upon behind closed doors see section 5.4.16/17 of the scheme assessment report :

5.4.16 Option 8(b) was identified as the preferred option to be entered into the TPI programme when the scheme was put on hold in 2008

5.4.17 No announcement was made on the preferred option emerging from the Supplementary Public Consultation at Little Eaton before the scheme was put on hold in 2007.

Then in 2015 out of the blue this appears as the only option as the "presented option" as if this was all agreed upon and the results of the earlier public consultation agreed with. This is quite contrary to reality because the residents of Breadsall had since 2003 assumed that their petition had held sway and to discover that this had been counted as a single vote against the proposed option was very concerning and hence with the agreement of the Breadsall Parish Council an Action group was formed to try and alter this decision. Many meetings were held and various options reviewed however no changes were made

I therefore wish the inspectorate to examine the whole process from start to finish of how the current preferred option was arrived at because I consider the earlier decisions (which were not communicated to the Breadsall population) to have been non transparent and open to challenge.

2) I agree with the current extent of the noise barrier subject to review of the final "issued for construction" drawings and consultation on the actual specification and make up of said 2.5 m high barrier

3) I believe the landscaping by the balancing ponds by the southbound off slip merge with the A61 needs to be widened

4) I wish to see many more evergreen trees planted throughout the landscape areas between the road and Breadsall. Whilst I understand the native species reasons in this instance when there is a considerable bank of native species adjacent to the road as planted by my father this should compensate.

regards,
Simon Morris
The Coach House,
Rectory Lane,

Breadsall,
Derby DE21 5LL



From: "A38 Derby Junctions" <A38DerbyJunctions@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
To: [Redacted]
Date: 11/10/2019 09:51
Subject: [External] A38 Derby Junctions Project

Dear Sir/ Madam

Your Reference number: 20022799

Planning Act 2008 (as amended) – Section 89 and the Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010 (as amended) – Rule 8

**Application by Highways England for an Order Granting Development Consent for the A38 Derby Junctions project
Examination timetable and procedure**

Please find below a link to the Rule 8 letter giving notice of the procedural decisions made following the Preliminary Meeting about the way in which the proposal for the above project is to be examined. This includes the timetable for the examination of the application and the Examining Authority's initial questions.

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/projects/TR010022/TR010022-000760-A38%20Derby%20Junctions%20Rule%208%20Letter%20FINAL%20DRAFT.pdf>

Yours faithfully

A38 Derby Junctions Project Team

Email: A38DerbyJunctions@planninginspectorate.gov.uk

Telephone: 0303 444 5000

Web: <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain proprietary, business-confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this message you are hereby notified that any use, review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution, reproduction or any action taken in reliance upon this message is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any and all computers and other devices.

Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of the company.
